UNITED NATIONS

A S

General Assembly
Security Council

Distr.
GENERAL

A/50/800
S/1995/1007
4 December 1995

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Fiftieth session
Agenda items 22, 55 and 112
RETURN OR RESTITUTION OF CULTURAL PROPERTY TO THE COUNTRIES OF ORIGIN
QUESTION OF CYPRUS
HUMAN RIGHTS QUESTIONS

SECURITY COUNCIL
Fiftieth year

Letter dated 30 November 1995 from the Permanent Representative of Turkey to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the honour to transmit herewith a letter dated 28 November 1995, addressed to you by Mr. Osman Ertuğ, representative of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus.

I should be grateful if the text of the present letter and its annex could be circulated as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda items 22, 55 and 112, and of the Security Council.

(Signed) Hüseyin E. ÇELEM
Ambassador
Permanent Representative

95-38481 (E) 051295 061295

/...

ANNEX

Letter dated 28 November 1995 from Mr. Osman Ertuğ to the Secretary-General

Upon instructions from my Governments, I have the honour to respond to the new allegations put forward by the Greek Cypriot Representative at the United Nations in connection with cultural property in the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus, which come in the form of a letter distributed as General Assembly and Security Council document A/50/738-S/1995/938 dated 8 November 1995, and a statement made at the plenary meeting of the General Assembly held on 27 November 1995.

At the outset I wish to remind the Greek Cypriot side that there is no "occupation" in Cyprus other than the 32-year-old occupation of the seat of Government by the Greek Cypriot usurpers of power, and to stress that their continued monopolization and reckless exploitation of that power as a "cold war weapon" against the Turkish Cypriot side is the main obstacle in the way of the negotiating process and intercommunal reconciliation.

Greek Cypriot allegations on "systematic destruction" of cultural property in the North have been conclusively refuted not only by the Turkish Cypriot side, but also by independent sources, including a UNESCO expert and the relevant Committee of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe.

In a letter he wrote to the London Times, the respected UNESCO expert, Mr. Jacques Dalibard, who had visited Cyprus twice in 1975, observed that "there was no systematic and organized looting of the cultural heritage of Cyprus. And on the whole, considering that a war took place, the losses are small".

On the other hand, Mr. Ymenus van der Werff, General Rapporteur of the Subcommittee on the Architectural and Artistic Heritage of the Committee on Culture and Education of the Council of Europe, who had come to the island with a delegation of experts to study the situation regarding cultural property in both parts of the island, reported the following on this subject in paragraph 5.3 of his report, which was published as a document of the Council of Europe on 2 July 1989 under the symbol AS/CULT/AA(41)1: "We saw no churches destroyed though St. George in Limnia (in the North) was listed as such".

Contrary to what is claimed, it is the Greek Cypriot side which has always tried, and continues to try, to eradicate all traces of the Turkish-Muslim heritage of Cyprus, particularly during the years 1963-1974, when they attempted to "cleanse" the island of its ethnic Turkish population through massacre, expulsion and other methods of intimidation. During that tragic period, mosques, shrines and other places of Muslim worship in 103 villages across the island had been destroyed, including the historic Grand Mosque in the southern town of Paphos. The following quotation on this subject taken from the aforementioned Council of Europe report is sad, yet revealing:

"5.3 We noted with regret the complete destruction of the main mosque in Paphos. The whole area has since been flattened to give way for a widened road junction and a car park. There is no memorial to the existence of the mosque. Below the road a Turkish bath complex remains hidden in rubble and vegetation awaiting restoration. The Turkish Cypriot cemetery by the nearby St. Sophia Mouttalos mosque is dilapidated."

Today, the Greek Cypriot side continues to show utter contempt for what is left of the Turkish-Islamic heritage in southern Cyprus. For instance, the historic Bayraktar Mosque on the ancient walls of Nicosia, which is located in the Greek sector of the city, and which had been repeatedly attacked and bombed before 1974, has again been the target of several bombing and arson attacks since then. The reason is that the mosque stands as a symbol of the centuries-old Turkish-Islamic heritage of the island, and a powerful reminder that Cyprus is not a Greek island.

It will be seen from the foregoing that the Greek Cypriot side is in no position to lodge complaints about the condition of cultural property in the North. It is the Greek Cypriot side which has systematically attacked, destroyed or treated with utter disrespect cultural and religious monuments, places of worship and other elements of cultural belonging to the Turkish-Muslim heritage of the island.

As for individual acts of vandalism or theft, this is a world-wide phenomenon affecting all countries and, ironically, southern Cyprus more than northern Cyprus. Foreign experts have attributed this in part to the existence of a lucrative stolen art market in the economically affluent South, which naturally attracts stolen objets d'art from across the island. For their part, the authorities of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus, aware of its value for humanity as a whole, have done and continue to do their best to protect and preserve all cultural property of the North regardless of its cultural origin, in spite of the lack of any assistance from relevant international organizations.

It is also relevant to note, in connection with cultural property, that at a more fundamental level, that is at the level of educating their young generations to respect the culture of others, the Greek Cypriot side has dismally failed by instilling, in the minds of their young, nothing but hatred, intolerance and contempt towards the distinct cultural and ethnic identity of the Turkish Cypriot community. In fact, the level of anti-Turkish indoctrination in elementary, secondary and higher schools in the Greek Cypriot South is nothing short of racism.

Most recently, this has again manifested itself in violent mass demonstrations staged by Greek Cypriot schoolchildren between the ages of 13 and 18 who marched to our borders, uttering anti-Turkish slogans, burning Turkish flags and stoning and injuring our border guards as well as civilians. Since hardly any of these students have even met a Turkish Cypriot in their lives, their anger and hatred cannot be other than the result of indoctrination by their teachers, parents, the Greek Orthodox Church and the entire education system.

I am sure you will appreciate that the poisoning of young minds in the manner described above, whose adverse effects will no doubt be felt for generations to come, is both a tragic waste and a testament to the inability of the other side to live peacefully, side by side, with their Turkish Cypriot neighbours, for a better future for all.

I should be grateful if this letter could be circulated as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda items 22, 55 and 112, and of the Security Council.

(Signed) Osman ERTUĞ
Representative
Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus

UNITED
NATIONS

A S

General Assembly
Security Council

Distr.
GENERAL

A/50/801
S/1995/1008
4 December 1995

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

GENERAL ASSEMBLY
Fiftieth session
Agenda item 55
QUESTION OF CYPRUS

SECURITY COUNCIL
Fiftieth year

Letter dated 1 December 1995 from the Permanent Representative of Turkey to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the honour to transmit herewith a letter dated 28 November 1995, addressed to you by His Excellency Mr. Osman Ertuğ, representative of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (see annex).

I should be grateful if the text of the present letter and its annex, which contains the text of a statement made by His Excellency Mr. Hakkı Atun, Prime Minister of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus, could be circulated as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda item 55, and of the Security Council.

(Signed) Hüseyin E. ÇELEM
Ambassador
Permanent Representative

95-38564 (E) 051295 061295

/...

ANNEX

Letter dated 28 November 1995 from Mr. Osman Ertuğ
addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the honour to refer to a letter dated 13 November 1995 addressed to you by the Greek Cypriot Representative at the United Nations (A/50/759-S/1995/956 dated 16 November 1995) containing, in its annex, the extract on the question of Cyprus from the communiqué issued by the Meeting of the Commonwealth Heads of Government, which took place at Auckland, New Zealand, from 10 to 13 November 1995, and to enclose herewith the text of a relevant statement made by His Excellency Mr. Hakkı Atun, Prime Minister of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus, on 14 November 1995 (see appendix).

I should be grateful if you could have the present letter and its appendix circulated as a document of the General Assembly, under agenda item 55, and of the Security Council.

(Signed) Osman ERTUĞ
Representative
Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus

APPENDIX

Statement made at Lefkosa on 14 November 1995 by Mr. Hakkı Atun concerning the extract on Cyprus from the final communiqué issued by the Meeting of Commonwealth Heads of Government, held at Auckland, New Zealand, from 10 to 13 November 1995

The paragraph on Cyprus of the communiqué issued by the Commonwealth Heads of Government Conference recently held in New Zealand is one-sided, reflecting the views of the Greek Cypriot side, and does not facilitate the solution of the Cyprus question.

First of all, Mr. Glafcos Clerides, who participated in the Conference, does not have the right to represent the Turkish Cypriot people or Cyprus as a whole. He can only represent the Greek Cypriot people and administration.

There are two equal peoples in Cyprus; the Turkish Cypriot people are represented by their own elected officials.

In the relevant paragraph, it is claimed that the lack of progress towards a solution is due to the lack of political will on the Turkish Cypriot side. Such pronouncements, which seem to have found their way into the text at the instigation of Mr. Clerides, will not suffice to conceal the intransigence of the Greek Cypriot administration.

The Turkish Cypriot side is willing to resolve the Cyprus issue. It has laid down the necessary parameters for this purpose. It has declared its acceptance of the confidence-building measures and its preparedness for the immediate resumption of the negotiations.

It is Mr. Clerides who is avoiding the negotiating table, putting forward preconditions, continuing the excessive rearmament, rejecting the implementation of the confidence-building measures and trying to achieve enosis (union with Greece) by way of membership in the European Union (EU) before the Cyprus question is resolved. Hence, it is none other than the Greek Cypriot side that lacks the political will to settle the issue.

The question of demilitarization, which is mentioned in the relevant paragraph of the Commonwealth Declaration, is nothing but an attempt made by Mr. Clerides to render Turkey's guarantorship ineffective and to provide a cover-up for his militarization campaign. The question of demilitarizing Cyprus, after a solution is reached and an agreed number of troops remain in the framework of the Treaties of Guarantee and Alliance, is a subject to be discussed at the negotiating table. Until such time comes, we have a proposal for a non-aggression agreement between the two sides, which has so far been rejected by the other side. I would like to remind all concerned that this proposal is still valid.

The said paragraph also contains an unacceptable clause regarding the withdrawal of individuals who have come from Turkey. This is a subject that concerns solely the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus. No one has the right

to dictate to us who will come and live in our country. Those who have come, established businesses and acquired citizenship within our laws are under the protection of the laws of the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus.

In the final analysis, it is obvious that the Commonwealth, with such one-sided decisions, could never contribute to a peaceful solution in Cyprus. If the Commonwealth genuinely wishes to contribute to a solution, it must cease to take such one-sided decisions without hearing the Turkish Cypriot side, and must provide for equal say and representation for the Turkish Cypriots as a Commonwealth people.